Honorable Thomas S. Zilly 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR06-0466 TSZ Plaintiff, 10 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL 11 v. AND SETTING NEW PRETRIAL 12 DAVID R. MENDOZA, MOTIONS DEADLINE AND PRETRIAL CONFERENCE DATE Defendant. 13 14 15 Based on the motion of Defendant Mendoza that is unopposed by the Government, 16 the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law: 17 1. The defense needs additional time to explore issues of some complexity, 18 including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case, which would make it 19 unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within 20 the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. 21 22 § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), 23 2. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary 24 to allow the defendants the reasonable time for effective preparation of their defense. 18 25 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 26